

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/685,303	10/14/2003	Graham M. Stuart	1088-00017	9832
7590 05/09/2006			EXAMINER	
Joseph J. Jochman			KRISHNAMURTHY, RAMESH	
ANDRUS, SCI	EALES, STARKE & SAW	ALL, LLP	1	
Suite 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 East Wisconsin Avenue			3753	
Milwaukee, WI 53202-4178			DATE MAH ED. 05/00/200	

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/685,303	STUART, GRAHAM M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ramesh Krishnamurthy	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the specified period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 February 2006</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
·	priority under 35 H S.C. S. 110(a)	) (d) or (f)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some c)⊡ None of.  1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,				

Application/Control Number: 10/685,303 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

This office action is responsive to communications filed 02/27/2006.

Claims 5 - 8 are pending.

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

2. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Thiltgen (US 5,036,875).

Thiltgen discloses a valve mechanism (Figs. 1– 8) including a moveable closure

member (74), spring means (80) resiliently biasing the moveable member towards a first

position i.e. closed position and linkage means (See Figs. 2 - 4) retaining the moveable

member in a second position i.e. open position including a chemically sensitive member

(90) which is arranged to release in the presence of a contaminant. The chemically

sensitive means comprises an elongate member having at least one end near (98)

whose surface is frictionally engaged by an end cap of a cooperating member (96) of

the mechanism and is adapted to be chemically degraded by the contaminant so that

the frictional engagement is lost.

3. Claims 5 and 6 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 02/27/2006 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive. Applicant's argument that the two parts (90,96) merely abut each other and

are not held by frictional engagement is unpersuasive. If there was no frictional

engagement between the elements (90) and (96) the moveable closure member (74) would not be actuated to close at all, since the chemically sensitive member (90) would merely deteriorate with out any corresponding effect on the end cap of the abutting member (96).

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh Krishnamurthy whose telephone number is (571) 272 – 4914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel, can be reached on (571) 272 – 4929. The fax phone number

Art Unit: 3753

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273 – 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramesh Krishnamurthy, Ph.D., PE

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753